

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,227	03/26/2004	Hannes Sternerson	010327-008510US	9029
	7590. 12/11/200 AND TOWNSEND AN		EXAM	INER
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			LIU, BEN H	
EIGHTH FLOO	H FLOOR RANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
on in indirect	500, 01171111 5051		2616	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	10/811,227	STERNERSON, HANNES			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ben H. Liu	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	arch 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		,			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·	•			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	,	·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

10/811,227 Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-13, 15-17, 19-21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benyassine et al. (U.S. Patent 6,721,712).

For claims 1-3, 8, 11, 15, 16 and 20, Benyassine et al. disclose a system and method for providing frame rate conversion for audio data comprising:

A first client configured to transmit audio data frames at a first frame rate (see column 2 lines 39-41, which recite receiving a plurality of speech signals encoded at a first frame rate);

A second client configured to receive audio data frames at a second frame rate, wherein the first frame rate is different from the second frame rate (see column 2 lines 46-48, which recite re-encoding the received frames using a second frame rate);

A device configured to facilitate transmission of audio data frames between the first client and the second client (see column 2 lines 58-67, which recite a processor which receives frames at a first frame rate and transmits the received frames at a second frame rate).

10/811,227 Art Unit: 2616

The device is further configured to receive the audio data frames from the first client at the first frame rate and convert the audio data frames for transmission to the second client at the second frame rate (see column 2 lines 58-67, which recite a processor capable of determining the encoding rate of the received frame and capable of encoding the received frame at a second rate).

The device is also further configured to store the audio data frames received from the first client in an intermediate storage area (see column 3 lines 40-48, which recite memory elements used in the functional blocks including the processor); and

Repackage the stored audio data frames into one or more frames for transmission to the second client at the second frame rate (see column 2 lines 58-67, which recite a processor capable of encoding the received frame at a second rate).

For claims 4, 9, 12, 17, and 21, Benyassine et al. disclose a system and method for providing frame rate conversion for audio data wherein the system is implemented in software, hardware or a combination of both (see column 3 lines 38-43, which recite implementing the functional blocks of the system as hardware components and/or software components configured to perform the specified functions).

For claims 5, 10, 13, 19, and 23, Benyassine et al. disclose a system and method for providing frame rate conversion for audio data the first client and the second client include telephonic equipment (see column 4 lines 15-19, which recite a client vocoder that is a CDMA cellular telephone) and computers (see column 5 lines 16-29, which recite a client vocoder that

Application/Control Number:

10/811,227 Art Unit: 2616

is a device in a packet based network such as in G.729 Voice over Internet Protocol systems.

Such a client vocoder in an VOIP network can be a computer).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 6, 7, 14, 18, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benyassine et al. (U.S. Patent 6,721,712) in view of Mizusawa et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0037002).

For claims 6, 7, 14, 18, and 22, Benyassine et al. disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception that a Voice-over-IP gateway is used for facilitating the

Application/Control Number:

10/811,227

Art Unit: 2616

communications between a first client configured to transmit audio data at a first frame rate and a second client configured to receive audio data at a second frame rate. Mizusawa et al. from the same or similar fields of endeavor disclose a VOIP gateway device for performing frame conversion of frame formats with different bit rates (see paragraph 9). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the VOIP gateway for frame conversion as taught by Mizusawa et al. with the system and method for facilitating the communications between a first client configured to transmit audio data at a first frame rate and a second client configured to receive audio data at a second frame rate as taught by Benyassine et al. The system as taught by Benyassine et al. can implement through software (see column 3 lines 38-43). Thus, the VOIP gateway device for performing frame conversion of frame formats as taught by Mizusawa et al. can configured to perform the function of the conversion module 130 through software. The motivation for using the VOIP gateway device for performing frame conversion of frame formats as taught by Mizusawa et al. with the system and method for facilitating the communications between a first client configured to transmit audio data at a first frame rate and a second client configured to receive audio data at a second frame rate as taught by Benyassine et al. is to provide VOIP access as well as additional functions of private branch exchanges.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (see form PTO-892).

Application/Control Number:

10/811,227 Art Unit: 2616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ben H. Liu whose telephone number is (571) 270-3118. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM to 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Firmin Backer can be reached on (571) 272-6703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 809-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BL

BRIAN NGUYEN BIMARY EXAMINER